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**SDG Proposal Template**

PURO.EARTH Puro.earth Oy, Tammasaarenkatu 1, 00180 Helsinki, Finland

The purpose of this document is to provide a template for CO2 Removal Suppliers and other relevant parties to propose a new project-level indicator, demonstrating positive impacts on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

The proposal consists of two parts: the proposed indicator along with its requirements, and a self-assessment of the proposal. Both parts must be completed for the proposal to be considered complete. The list of valid SDG indicators is available in Table 1 of the [SDG Assessment Requirements](https://7518557.fs1.hubspotusercontent-na1.net/hubfs/7518557/Supplier%20Documents/Puro_SDG_Assess_Req.pdf).

Detailed requirements for submission and the approval process can be found in Chapter 3 of the [SDG Assessment Requirements](https://7518557.fs1.hubspotusercontent-na1.net/hubfs/7518557/Supplier%20Documents/Puro_SDG_Assess_Req.pdf).

1. Project-level SDG indicator proposal

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **[Copy here the target that you are referring to from the** [**official SDG list**](https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/indicators-list/)**. The list includes also official SDG indicators, which can be used in interpreting the targets.]** | |
| [Proposed name for the project-level indicator] | |
| Methodology | **[List here all applicable methodologies]** |
| Quantitative metric | [Proposed metric] |
| Req. 1 | [Proposed requirements for eligibility. Minimum number is one, but can be multiple] |
| Req. 2 | [Optional] |
| Req. 3 | [Optional] |
| Req. 4 | [Optional] |
| Category | [Puro SDG Attribute or Puro SDG Descriptor? See Rules 2.13-2.1.5 in the requirements] |
| Information to be provided | [Proposed documentation requirements. Assumed non-public if not repeated below.] |
| Information to be published | [Proposed public reporting requirements] |

1. Self-assessment of the project-level SDG indicator proposal

The table below includes the criteria required for self-assessment of the proposal. For additional context on criteria i-vi, please see section “4.3 Determining criteria for effective indicators” from Day and colleagues (65-68) [[1]](#footnote-2) as those criteria are adapted from their work.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Criteria and assessment** | |
| o | ***Relevance to the proposed SDG and SDG target:*** describe how the proposed project-level indicator aligns with the SDG, SDG target and official SDG indicators | |
| [Answer, max. 200 words] | |
| i | ***Specific individual outcome:*** *must refer to a specific individual outcome rather than being overly broad or abstract.*  Consider here in particular the proposed Quantitative metric. Is it something that allows a comparison between different projects? Overly broad would include indicators referring to e.g. ‘progress towards gender equality’ without a defined metric for the progress. | |
| **How does the proposal align with the criteria?** | |
| [Answer] | |
| ii | ***Cause-and-effect relationship:*** *must establish a direct and inherently clear cause and-effect relationship between the carbon removal activity and the impact, i.e., the impact should be additional and attributable to the CO2 Removal activity.*  Is it possible that the positive development would take place even without the carbon removal activity? How is the baseline for the indicator defined? | |
| **How does the proposal align with the criteria?** | |
| [Answer] | |
| iii | ***Quantitative metric:*** *Numeric measurement allows for objective assessment and comparison.*  Simply, can the outcome be quantified? | |
| **How does the proposal align with the criteria?** | |
| [Answer] | |
| iv | ***Accuracy:*** *can be determined without relying heavily on input assumptions.*  Are the required measurements reliable? Does the indicator require input assumptions? How reliable are they? | |
| **How does the proposal align with the criteria?** | |
| [Answer] | |
| v | ***Manageable monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV):*** *Pragmatic MRV processes limit the costs of data collection.*  How complicated will it be for the CO2 Removal Suppliers to monitor and report the indicator? And how difficult will it be for the auditor to verify the evidence? | |
| **How does the proposal align with the criteria?** | |
| [Answer] | |
| vi | ***Internally monitored:*** *The CO2 Removal Supplier can monitor the metric with own information and data.*  Does the data need to be sourced from elsewhere? Or is it already needed for monitoring the carbon removal? | |
| **How does the proposal align with the criteria?** | |
| [Answer] | |
| + | Is there already a project-level SDG indicator in the Puro framework applicable to the methodology for the same SDG? If yes, please explain the added value of the proposed indicator.  Table 1 of the [SDG Assessment Requirements](https://7518557.fs1.hubspotusercontent-na1.net/hubfs/7518557/Supplier%20Documents/Puro_SDG_Assess_Req.pdf) lists all approved project-level SDG indicators. | |
| [Answer] |  |
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