

Public evaluation statement by Puro.earth

This document is a public summary of the evaluation made by Puro.earth of an endorsed technology partner. This endorsement is subject to **terms & conditions**, reproduced below. The technology partner has been evaluated against **criteria** specific to the applicable removal methodology. The endorsement is only valid for the **equipment model and configuration** listed.

Puro.earth Technology Providers Terms & Conditions - Disclaimers

- a. The endorsement of technology does not guarantee that the facility or site having this technology in operation will pass the audit process of Puro.earth. The outcome of any audit process is subject to various factors beyond the scope of the endorsement. Puro.earth shall not be held liable or responsible for any failure to pass the audit process, including but not limited to, factors such as changes in regulations, operational practices, or unforeseen circumstances.
- b. Puro.earth is acting in reliance on the accuracy of information supplied by Technology Provider. Puro.earth disclaims any responsibility or liability for any discrepancies, errors, or inaccuracies that may exist within the reviewed documents and the evaluation report. Technology Provider ensures to educate any technology buyer that Puro.earth's reliance on such information does not absolve technology provider or any third party of their obligations or liabilities.
- c. The endorsement is valid solely for each specific evaluated equipment model and configuration submitted by the Technology Provider. The endorsement remains valid under the assumption that the equipment is properly operated and maintained, and that the equipment system is not modified or customized.
- d. Technology Provider acknowledges that it is the responsibility of the technology buyer to ensure compliance with applicable local regulations. Puro.earth provides no guarantee or assurance regarding the compliance of the endorsed technology with local regulations. The technology buyer shall bear all risks and liabilities associated with non-compliance, and Puro.earth shall not be held responsible for any legal or regulatory consequences arising therefrom.
- e. Technology Provider is required to inform technology buyers of the necessity to conduct their own due diligence to ensure that the chosen equipment aligns with their specific needs and particular conditions. The endorsement provided by Puro.earth should not be considered a substitute for independent consideration and assessment by the technology buyer. The technology buyer acknowledges that their selection and use of the technology is solely their own decision, and they shall bear all risks and liabilities associated therewith.
- f. Puro.earth disclaims any and all responsibility for any matters or consequences arising from such scenarios but not limited to the purchase, operation, maintenance, or disposal of the equipment endorsed by Puro.earth. This disclaimer includes, but is not limited to, any direct, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, losses, or expenses incurred by Technology Provider, technology buyer or any third party. The abovementioned parties shall hold Puro.earth harmless from any claims, demands, or actions arising out of or related to the endorsed technology.
- g. The above-mentioned Particular Terms & Conditions shall be featured herein, in the final evaluation report as well as within the company's website section displaying this endorsement.



Purpose of evaluation and assessment criteria

The purpose of this evaluation is to verify the environmental and climate performance of the equipment, in terms of emissions of air pollutants, management of solid and liquid wastes, and emissions of greenhouse gases. In addition, the aim is to evaluate the capability of the equipment to produce high quality biochar. This evaluation follows the requirements set out in the Puro Standard for Biochar (Edition 2022, Version 2).

Puro's evaluation of pyrolysis equipment is built around the following 7 assessment criteria:

Criteria 1: Methods to ensure complete combustion

Criteria 2: Methods to ensure low emissions of air pollutants

Criteria 3: Methods to ensure safe disposal of any waste stream

Criteria 4: Emission testing of air pollutants

Criteria 5: Emission testing of greenhouse gases

Criteria 6: Testing of biochar quality

Criteria 7: Material choices and expected equipment lifetime

Endorsed technology partner, equipment models and configurations

Technology Partner Information	
Name of the	Earth Systems Pty Ltd (Australian Business Number ABN: 29 006 227 532)
manufacturer	
Country where	Australia
manufacturer is	
registered	
Website	www.earthsystems.com.au AND www.thecharmaker.com
Name and contact	Company representative: Adrian Morphett
details of	E: adrian.morphett@earthsystems.com.au
representative, date of	M: +61 (0)413 327 120
submission	Submission date: 24 th October 2024

Equipment model and configurations applicable

Earth Systems Pty Ltd (ES) CharMaker, including 4 Continuous Pyrolysis Plant (CPP) models:

- CharMaker CPP200
- CharMaker CPP500
- CharMaker CPP1000 (2x CPP500 with shared resources)
- CharMaker CPP2000 (4x CPP500 with shared resources)

Key components of a **CharMaker** are:

- Pyrolysis kiln containing a bank of augers to process biomass.
 - o 2x augers for CPP200, 6x augers for/per CPP500
- Thermal oxidiser (TO)
 - \circ CPP200 TO cross-section is 1/3 of the TO on CPP500 but is the same length.
 - o CPP1000 and CPP2000 TO is twice the size of the TO of the CPP500 and has internal walls (brick) resulting in a TO of the same capacity as the CPP500.
 - o TO startup fuel is biodiesel, diesel, light-oil



Possible **configurations** of the ES CharMaker CPP include adding a **Pre-dryer unit** or an **External heat exchanger unit**:

• Pre-dryer unit

Integrated at the hot exit flange on the TO, utilising waste heat to pre-dry wet feedstock.
 This component enables CharMaker models to process feedstocks up to 50% moisture.
 Requires that a cooling plenum is installed to cool combustion gases sufficiently.

· External heat exchanger unit

- For feedstocks with moisture content below 30% and high energy content an external heat exchanger can be installed instead of the pre-dryer. High grade heat is collected by the heat exchanger and can be used for other purposes.
- o Provided by a third party, but ES will facilitate supply, installation and integration to the hot exit flange on the TO.
- If neither of the optional components are used, combustion gases from the TO exit via a chimney.

Other required and optional components include:

- Dosing feed hopper (controls biomass input rate)
- Magnetic separator (optional, depending on feedstock, to remove ferrous metals)
- Ribbed conveyor, dryer inlet hopper, biomass outlet (required if pre-dryer configuration installed)
- Ground mounted ribbed feed conveyor with small hopper at start of conveyor
- Double lock hopper (restricts air ingress to system and moves biomass evenly into system)
- Pyrolysis furnace (pyrolysis reactor producing biochar and pyrolysis gas, temperatures 500-600°C)
- Biochar outlet (biochar exits kiln while preventing pyrolysis gases from exiting the kiln)
- Inclined water auger with quench system (biochar quenching mechanism that can be disabled)
- Wood vinegar condensation tower and filtration drum (optional, using air and water to condense condensable gases and collect wood vinegar product)
- Complete System Automation via Control Panel and auxiliary component
- Emergency water solenoid valve system (that connects to mains water at site)
- Remote connectivity to each plant, via online connectivity via 4G modem and Wi-Fi.



Assessed criteria and summary of observations

Note – the summary of observations in this public version have been redacted to not disclose any confidential information, and the redaction has been approved by the Technology Partner. Interested buyers may request additional information directly from the Technology Partner.

Criteria 1: Methods to ensure complete combustion of pyrolysis gases and oils	
☑ Criteria is met, in full.	
☐ Criteria is met partially.	
☐ Criteria is not met.	
Observations:	
Criteria 1 is met, thanks to the design measures of the thermal oxidiser, evidenced with photos, technical	
drawings, and written declarations. The design measures ensure that appropriate temperatures and	
residence times are achieved, gaseous flows are turbulent, and excess air is present. In addition, the thermal	
oxidiser is continuously monitored, and the combustion process can be adjusted manually or automatically. Considering that the CharMaker models can be equipped with optional components (wood vinegar	
condensation system, pre-dryer, heat exchanger), it is important that the manufacturer describes clearly the	
requirements of each component and the differences in product/byproduct generation. Descriptions	
provided to potential clients are expected to at least include information relating to tar management and	
collection from the wood vinegar condensation system, as well as tars collected during monthly	
maintenance, and standard operating procedures for tar (re-)injection.	
Criteria 2: Methods to ensure low emissions of air pollutants	
☑ Criteria is met, in full.	
☐ Criteria is met partially.	
☐ Criteria is not met.	
Observations:	
Criteria 2 is met, thanks to the design measures and conditions of the thermal oxidiser. For most clean	
biomass feedstocks (e.g. forest residues, agricultural residues, but not e.g. sewage sludge), the flue gas treatment system design seems sufficient. In addition, features to reduce combustion temperature at critical	
levels are installed, to minimize thermal NO_x formation. It will then be verified on a project basis that	
aguinment installed mosts the regulation applicable locally	

Criteria 3: Methods to ensure safe disposal of any waste stream

☑ Criteria is met, in full.☐ Criteria is met partially.

☐ Criteria is not met.

Observations:

Criteria 3 is met, as the information provided identifies all waste streams and quantifies the amounts generated during normal operations, for the different configurations. The models (with a Bioliquids Recovery System or Wood Vinegar system) assessed are burning most of the pyrolysis oil and thereby minimize generation of pyrolysis oil/tar (residual tar generation rates for the CharMaker CPP500 model are about 50 kg per month according to the manufacturer, collected primarily from the wood vinegar condensation tower and monthly cleaning and maintenance). In the absence of a BRS/WV system, no/zero pyrolysis oil/tar is generated. The manufacturer has stated that the collected tars can be slowly disposed of in the pyrolysis kiln with the biomass feedstock and should provide standard operating procedures for tar (re-)injection rates to ensure that the equipment operates as per specification. If the wood vinegar condensation tower is included in the configuration, adequate management and storage of the liquid byproduct must be in place prior to being used in environmentally friendly applications. Other waste streams collected during maintenance are also quantified (ash) and must be adequately managed and safely disposed. It will then be verified on a



project basis, during regular facility and output third-party audits, that equipment installed meets the regulation applicable locally and that waste streams are disposed accordingly. Criteria 4: Emission testing of air pollutants □ Criteria is met, in full. ☐ Criteria is met partially. ☐ Criteria is not met. Observations: Criteria 4 is met, based on the emission data provided for two CharMaker CPP500s and a CharMaker CPP2000. Environmental permits have been obtained for machinery operating in Australia (CharMaker CPP500) as well as in Hong Kong (CharMaker CPP500 and CharMaker CPP2000) which produce biochar from mixed species woodchip feedstock. It will then be verified on a project basis, during regular facility and output third-party audits, that equipment installed meets the regulation applicable locally. Criteria 5: Emissions testing of greenhouse gases \boxtimes Criteria is met, in full. ☐ Criteria is met partially. ☐ Criteria is not met. Observations: Criteria 5 is met in full meaning that GHG emissions have been quantified and can be used for determining the carbon footprint of biochar produced with this equipment. Puro notes that CH₄ and N₂O emissions are likely to represent up to 6% and 2% of carbon stored in biochar, respectively. It must be noted that the GHG emissions results for both CH₄ and N₂O were not detected based on the detection limits of the equipment used during GHG emission testing, limited by the testing company. Therefore, the results are considered conservative in the absence of a more sensitive GHG measurement device. Based on these results, residual CH₄ and N₂O emissions are non-negligible and must be included in the calculation of project emissions, with the data provided by the manufacturer, unless additional GHG emissions testing is performed by the user of the equipment. Criteria 6: Testing of biochar quality □ Criteria is met, in full. ☐ Criteria is met partially. ☐ Criteria is not met. Observations: Criteria 6 is met, meaning that the manufacturer has demonstrated that the equipment can be operated with certain biomass feedstocks (mixed woodchips - primarily Eucalyptus) in a way that leads to biochar of sufficient persistence and sufficient environmental quality for most applications, e.g. soil applications. It will then be verified on a project basis, during regular facility and output third-party audits, that biochar produced is of sufficient persistence and sufficient environmental quality. Criteria 7: Material choices and expected equipment lifetime □ Criteria is met, in full. ☐ Criteria is met partially.

☐ Criteria is not met.



Observations:

Criteria 7 is met in full, meaning that material and energy usage for reactor manufacturing have been compiled, enabling calculation of embodied emissions from reactor manufacturing and disposal, as well as an expected lifetime of the reactor (10 years, out of which 12 months is under warranty). As for any other endorsed technology provider, Puro will make adequate disclaimers, ensuring that biochar projects do perform their own due diligence with respect to equipment material quality, expected lifetime, availability of spare parts, support from manufacturer, and warranty.

Other comments	
None.	
Decision	
☐ The applicable equipment by the Technology Provider have successfully passed the Puro.earth	
evaluation against the requirements set out in the Technology Provider Evaluation Criteria.	
☐ The submission requires revisions before the evaluation of the applicable equipment by the Technology	
Provider can be finished.	
\Box The applicable equipment by the Technology Provider have not passed the Puro.earth evaluation again	
the requirements set out in the Technology Provider Evaluation Criteria.	
Documents submitted on 24-10-2024.	
First review concluded on o6-12-2024.	
Additional documents submitted on 21-01-2025.	
Second review concluded on 19-02-2025.	